arXiv:hep-ph/9610241v1 4 Oct 1996

hep-ph/9610241

Spin and Flavor Content of Constituent Quarks and One-Spin Asymmetries in Inclusive Processes

S. M. Troshin and N. E. Tyurin

Institute for High Energy Physics,
Protvino, Moscow Region, 142284 Russia

We discuss a nonperturbative mechanism for one-spin asymmetries in inclusive hadron production. The main role in generation of this asymmetry belongs to the orbital angular momentum of quark-antiquark cloud in internal structure of constituent quarks.

As it is widely known now, only part (less than one third in fact) of the proton spin is due to quark spins. These results can be interpreted in the effective QCD approach ascribing a substantial part of hadron spin to an orbital angular momentum of quark matter. It is natural to guess that this orbital angular momentum might be revealed in asymmetries in hadron production.

We consider a nonperturbative hadron to be consisting of the constituent quarks located at the core of the hadron and quark condensate surrounding this core. We refer to effective QCD and use the NJL model [1] as a basis. The Lagrangian in addition to the 4–fermion interaction of the original NJL model includes 6–fermion $U(1)_A$–breaking term.

Strong interaction radius of this quark is determined by its Compton wavelength: $r_Q = \xi/m_Q$, where the constant ξ is universal for different flavors. Spin of constituent quark J_U in this approach is determined by the sum

$$J_U = 1/2 = J_{u_v} + J_{\{\bar{q}q\}} + \langle L_{\{\bar{q}q\}} \rangle.$$

Value of the orbital momentum contribution into the spin of constituent quark can be estimated with account for the experimental results from deep–inelastic scattering. The important point what the origin of this orbital angular momentum is. It was proposed [2] to consider an analogy with an anisotropic generalization of the theory of superconductivity which seems to match well with the above picture for a constituent quark.

We consider the hadron processes of the type

$$h_1^{\uparrow} + h_2 \to h_3 + X$$

with polarized beam or target and h_3 being a charged pion.

In the model constituent quarks are supposed to scatter in a quasi-independent way by some effective field which is being generated at the first stage of interaction under overlapping of peripheral condensate clouds [2]. Inclusive production of

hadron h_3 results from recombination of the constituent quark (low $p_\perp$'s, soft interactions) or from the excitation of this constituent quark, its decay and subsequent fragmentation in the hadron h_3. The latter process is determined by the interactions at distances smaller than constituent quark radius and is associated therefore with hard interactions (high $p_\perp$'s). Thus, we adopt a two–component picture of hadron production which incorporates interactions at large and small distances. We supposed that π^+–mesons are produced mostly by up flavors and π^-–mesons — by down flavors.

In the expression for asymmetry A_N [2]

$$A_N(s,\xi) = \frac{\int_0^\infty bdbI_-(s,b,\xi)/|1-iU(s,b)|^2}{\int_0^\infty bdbI_+(s,b,\xi)/|1-iU(s,b)|^2} \tag{1}$$

the function $U(s,b)$ is the generalized reaction matrix [3] and the functions $I_\pm$ can be expressed through its multiparticle analogs [2], ξ denotes the set of kinematical variables for the detected meson. In the model the spin–independent part $I_+(s,b,\xi)$ gets contribution from the processes at small (hard processes) as well as at large (soft processes) distances, i.e. $I_+(s,b,\xi) = I_+^h(s,b,\xi) + I_+^s(s,b,\xi)$, while the spin–dependent part $I_-(s,b,\xi)$ gets contribution from the interactions at short distances only $I_-(s,b,\xi) = I_-^h(s,b,\xi)$. The function $I_-^h(s,b,\xi)$ gets a nonzero value due to interference between the two helicity amplitudes, which gain different phases due to internal motion of partons inside the constituent quark. The following relation between the functions $I_-^h(s,b,\xi)$ and $I_+^h(s,b,\xi)$ has been proposed assuming the effect of internal motion of partons inside constituent quark leads to a shift in the produced meson transverse momentum:

$$I_-^h(s,b,\xi) = \sin[\mathcal{P}_{\tilde{Q}}(x)\langle L_{\{\bar{q}q\}}\rangle] I_+^h(s,b,\xi), \tag{2}$$

where $\mathcal{P}_{\tilde{Q}}(x)$ is the polarization of the leading constituent quark $\tilde{Q}$ (in the process of the meson h_3 production) and $\langle L_{\{\bar{q}q\}}\rangle$ is the mean value of internal angular momentum inside the constituent quark. This relation allowed to get a parameter–free prediction for the $p_\perp$–dependence of asymmetries in inclusive pion production [2].

The x–dependencies of the functions $I_+^s(s,b,\xi)$ and $I_+^h(s,b,\xi)$ are determined by the distribution of constituent quarks in a hadron and by the structure function of constituent quark respectively:

$$I_+^s(s,b,\xi) \propto \omega_{\tilde{Q}/h_1}(x)\Phi^s(s,b,p_\perp) \quad \text{and} \quad I_+^h(s,b,\xi) \propto \omega_{\tilde{q}/\tilde{Q}}(x)\Phi^h(s,b,p_\perp). \tag{3}$$

Taking into account the above relations, we can represent inclusive cross–section in the unpolarized case $d\sigma/d\xi$ and asymmetry A_N in the following forms:

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\xi} = 8\pi[W_+^s(s,\xi) + W_+^h(s,\xi)], \tag{4}$$

$$A_N(s,x,p_\perp) = \frac{\sin[\mathcal{P}_{\tilde{Q}}(x)\langle L_{\{\bar{q}q\}}\rangle] W_+^h(s,\xi)}{[W_+^s(s,\xi) + W_+^h(s,\xi)]}, \tag{5}$$

where the functions $W_+^{s,h}$ are determined by the interactions at large and small distances:

$$W_+^{s,h}(s,\xi) = \int_0^\infty bdbI_+^{s,h}(s,b,\xi)/|1-iU(s,b)|^2.$$

The asymmetry in the model has a significantly different x-dependence in the regions of transverse momenta $p_\perp \leq \Lambda_\chi$ and $p_\perp \geq \Lambda_\chi$. We have simple $p_\perp$–independent expression for asymmetry at $p_\perp > \Lambda_\chi$

$$A_N(s,x,p_\perp) \simeq \sin[\mathcal{P}_{\tilde{Q}}(x)\langle L_{\{\bar{q}q\}}\rangle] \tag{6}$$

and increasing asymmetry for $p_\perp \leq \Lambda_\chi$

$$A_N(s,x,p_\perp) \simeq \sin[\mathcal{P}_{\tilde{Q}}(x)\langle L_{\{\bar{q}q\}}\rangle]\frac{\omega_{\tilde{q}/\tilde{Q}}(x)}{\omega_{\tilde{Q}/h_1}(x)}r(s,p_\perp). \tag{7}$$

We consider the simplest possible dependence, e.g. the linear one $\mathcal{P}_{\tilde{Q}}(x) = \mathcal{P}_{\tilde{Q}}^{max}x$, where $\mathcal{P}_U^{max} = -\mathcal{P}_D^{max} = 1$. The value of $\langle L_{\{\bar{q}q\}}\rangle \simeq 0.4$ has been taken [2] on the basis of the DIS data. Using the above value of angular orbital momentum we obtain a good agreement with the data. Note also that in this model the mirror symmetry for asymmetries in π^+ and π_- production at $p_\perp > \Lambda_\chi$, i.e. $A_N^{\pi^+} = -A_N^{\pi^-}$ takes place. Due to this relation asymmetry in π^o production $A_N^{\pi^o} > 0$ since from experimental data it is known that $d\sigma^{\pi^+}/d\xi > d\sigma^{\pi^-}/d\xi$.

The predicted $p_\perp$-dependence of asymmetry has recently been confirmed by the experimental data [4].

The proposed model allows one to get the description of the spin effects in the hyperon production also [5].

Authors express their gratitude to G. Goldstein for interesting discussions and one of them (S.T.) to Organizing Committee of SPIN96 Simposium for financial support.

[1] Y. Nambu and G. Jona-Lasinio, Phys. Rev. **122** (1961) 345;
V. Bernard, R. L. Jaffe and U.–G. Meissner, Nucl. Phys. **B308** (1988) 753;
K.Steininger and W. Weise, Phys. Lett. **B329** (1994) 169.

[2] S. M. Troshin and N. E. Tyurin, Phys. Rev. **D52** (1995) 3862; Phys. Lett. **B355**(1995) 543; Phys. Rev. **D54** (1996) 838.

[3] A. A. Logunov, V. I. Savrin, N. E. Tyurin and O. A. Khrustalev, Teor. Mat. Fiz. **6**(1971) 157.

[4] A. Bravar, Talk given at SPIN96 Simposium, Amsterdam, 10-14 September, 1996 (these Proceedings).

[5] S. M. Troshin and N. E. Tyurin, Preprint IHEP 96-12, Protvino, 1996.